Nrf2 as a Chemopreventive Target in Colorectal Cancer

Constance Lay Lay Saw and Tony Ah-Ng Kong

Abstract

Introduction—Numerous epidemiological studies have linked consumption of cruciferous vegetables to a reduced risk of colorectal cancer (CRC) in individuals. It is currently well accepted that chronic inflammation is a contributing factor in 15-20% malignancies including CRC. Many chemopreventive compounds are effective in preclinical systems and many on-going clinical trials are showing promising findings. Many of these compounds could activate the antioxidant responsive element (ARE), a critical regulatory element for phase II protective/detoxification and anti-oxidative stress enzymes mediated by nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2). Recently, Nrf2 has emerged as a novel target for the prevention of CRC.

Areas covered—A full literature search was performed using PubMed with the key words ‘ARE, Nrf2, colon, colorectal cancer, chemoprevention, cancer prevention’, and all relevant publications are included.

Expert opinion—The use of Nrf2 knockout mice has provided key insights into the toxicological and chemopreventive importance of this pathway. Mounting evidence has revealed that Nrf2 is a critical regulator of inflammation as well, a major driving force for CRC progression and formation. Targeting the Nrf2/ARE pathway may present a novel therapeutic approach for the treatment of not only colorectal inflammatory diseases but the frequent subsequent development of CRC as well.
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1. Introduction

The focus of cancer research is often to find molecular targets for cancer chemotherapy, but molecules that can be potential targets for cancer chemoprevention would need equal consideration. Many studies have shown that various compounds have effective chemopreventive properties in vitro and in vivo, as well as in many on-going clinical trials. Many of these active compounds have been identified in various fruits, vegetables and other naturally occurring dietary phytochemicals. Numerous epidemiological studies have linked consumption of cruciferous vegetables to a reduced risk of colorectal cancer (CRC) which currently is the third highest cancer mortality in the US, after lung and genital cancers [1]. It thus becomes important to more clearly understand the mechanism leading to this cancer and how to prevent it. Many of these dietary cancer chemopreventive compounds could activate the antioxidant responsive element (ARE), a critical regulatory element in the...
promoter sequence of genes encoding cellular phase II detoxifying and antioxidant enzymes. Transcriptional activation of ARE is typically mediated by the transcription factor, nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) [2-4]. Recently, Nrf2 has emerged as a novel target for the prevention of CRC since it is currently well accepted that chronic inflammation is a contributing factor in 15-20% malignancies including CRC [5] and that this inflammation can be attributed to a number of factors including oxidative stress, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS). The understanding and importance of targeting Nrf2 for the prevention of CRC is the focus of this review. Targeting the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway may represent a novel therapeutic approach for the treatment of not only colorectal inflammatory diseases but also the frequently occurred subsequent development of CRC.

Carcinogenesis is a multi-steps process involving initiation, promotion and progression, transitioning of normal cells to mutant cells and ultimately invasive carcinoma. Therefore, this provides ample opportunities for cancer chemoprevention either using non-toxic approved drugs such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) or relatively non-toxic dietary phytochemicals [6, 7]. While cancer chemoprevention currently may appear to be costly, however, it would be the best approach towards cancer management(s). The American society would appear to be willing to pay for this potential costly cancer prevention programs since the disease inflicts great pains and the burden for the cost of treatment is tremendous. The good news is, the vast majority of cancers could be a preventable disease, and as only 5-10% of all cancers can be attributed to genetic defects, while the majority remaining 90-95% can be attributed to non-genetic, environmental, and lifestyle factors [8]. Current understanding of cancer prevention has been enhanced and increasing evidence has shown that chronic inflammations could be associated in driving malignancies. It is estimated that about 15-20% of all deaths from cancer worldwide are linked to infections and inflammations [5]. With many factors triggering chronic inflammation, the risk of developing cancer(s) is increased. CRC is one of the more classic examples of cancer with a strong association with chronic inflammation [9]. Therefore, it would critical to prevent chronic inflammation and it typically precedes cancer development [10]. Recently many studies have shown that many chemopreventive compounds are effective not only in in vitro and in vivo systems, they are also shown to be promising in many completed and on-going clinical trials [11-19]. Critical targets for chemoprevention often encompass multiple molecular pathways involved in; inflammatory pathways; cell survival, proliferation, and invasion; angiogenesis of tumor cells [20-23]; enhancement of the cellular antioxidant response [2] and metabolism of carcinogenic species [3]. A key player that has been found to be involved in many of these pathways is the transcription factor; nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) [4]. Therefore as discussed above, targeting the Nrf2 pathway [24-27] as an approach to CRC prevention, will be the main focus of the present review. The colorectal, colon and rectal cancers are considered collectively as CRC herein, unless specified otherwise.

2. Epidemiology, diet and CRC

Cancer arises from an accumulation of mutations that promote clonal selection of cells with increasingly aggressive behavior. The vast majority of mutations in cancer are somatic and only 1% of patients would have hereditary cancer syndromes who carry a particular germline mutation [28]. The non-inherited genetic causes of cancers can be logically modified to reduce the cancer risk and these include cigarette smoking, diet, alcohol consumption, exposure to sunlight and environmental pollutants, infections, stress, obesity, and physical inactivity. An individual who carries a mutant allele of an inherited cancer gene would appear to have a variable and possible risk of cancer that can be influenced by other genetic and non-genetic factors, which would appear to occur in every one of us, due to
random statistical considerations of our genome. As with most cancers, the contributing factors to CRC can be broken down into heritable genetic, sporadic genetic and non-genetic components [28], and furthermore these factors appear to be strongly interacting with one another [29]. Approximately 15% of CRC has genetic roots. Less than 1% of this is represented by hereditary with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) [30], and 5-15% represented by hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer (HNPCC) [31]. Both FAP and HNPCC are classified as inherited cancer among others cancers [28]. However, remarkably 85% of CRC are considered sporadic (non-hereditary) cases [32] (Fig. 1A). The fact that most CRC cases are not caused by heritable genetic factors highlights the importance of changes in dietary, lifestyle and environmental factors in preventing CRC (Fig. 1B). In 1981 Doll and Peto reported a review, who first estimated that approximately 35% of the cancer deaths in the US were potentially avoidable by modification of diet [33]. Since then epidemiological data on diet and cancer have grown rapidly. Later, Willet et al. had also reviewed and presented a similar estimation that 20-42% of cancer could be avoided by dietary modification [34]. In referencing specifically to CRC, while Doll and Peto estimated that about 90% of CRC was avoidable by dietary change, whereas Willet et al. was more conservative, estimating that only 50% diet-related CRC could be avoided by dietary changes due to the increasing evidence suggesting that, instead of diet alone, the addition of physical activity could also account for a 40-50% reduction in CRC risk [35, 36]. This estimate was later corroborated by later findings showing that physical activity could indeed reduce CRC risk by 40-50% [37, 38]. Taken together, these epidemiological literature indicate that environmental and lifestyle factors, would in principal, be modified in this context to reduce the majority of CRC. Over the past decade, the impact of genetic factors and environmental/lifestyle factors including diet [39], lifestyle such as smoking [40, 41] and alcohol consumption [42, 43], as well as metabolism phenotypes on CRC have been extensively investigated [29]. A recent completed survey among woman revealed that both genetic and environmental factors are believed to be the predominant causes of breast and CRC cancers [44]. Therefore in this context, it is important to identify the modifiable risk factors, especially dietary factor in prevention of CRC [45].

3. Inflammation and oxidative stress: carcinogenesis of CRC

It is well accepted that the developmental process of CRC in patients with sporadic cancer (as opposed to familial cancer) occurs over a period of decades. Patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), which includes both ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease, are at increased risk of developing CRC [32]. Indeed IBD ranks among the top three high-risk conditions for CRC; the risk for developing CRC increases with duration of colitis. For reasons still unclear, CRC is rarely encountered before 7 years of colitis, however thereafter, the risk increases at a rate of ~0.5-1.0% per year and the risk is directly proportionate to the colonic surface that is involved with colitis as well [32]. Despite extensive research, at present there is no genetic basis found to be able to explain the predisposition of CRC in IBD patients in developing CRC. Regardless of the underlying condition, essentially all CRC develops from a dysplastic precursor lesion. For instance, as with sporadic CRC, the “adenoma-carcinoma” setting becomes "inflammation-dysplasia-carcinoma" sequence in IBD [32]. Recent case studies of UC patients have demonstrated that histological inflammation scores are significantly correlated (odds ratio, 4.7; p < 0.001) with the risk of developing colorectal neoplasia [46]. How chronic inflammation contributes to the carcinogenesis of CRC remains an important question. It appears to be logical to assume that factors associated with inflammation, such as oxidative stress, ROS and RNS are contributing to the development of CRC [17]. An increase release of ROS at the site of inflammation is accompanied with activation of the inflammatory cells. In chronic inflammation, excessive levels of ROS could react with DNA, forming oxidative DNA adducts and causing impaired cell cycle contributing to genotypic changes that drive the
shift from mutation to carcinogenesis [47]. In fact, clinical studies involving IBD patients demonstrate that these patients have reduced plasma antioxidant(s) concentrations and increased oxidative DNA damage [48]. More specifically, oxidative DNA adduct, 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) accumulation was correlated significantly with the duration of the disease [49], which may explain why the risk of developing CRC from colitis is increased by 0.5-1.0% every year after 7 years of the disease [32]. RNS are also thought to play the same role as ROS in CRC carcinogenesis [50]. Putting all these together, a simplified illustration of the carcinogenesis in human CRC is shown in Fig. 2. For a more detailed discussion of the pathogenesis of CRC, the readers would refer to the existing literature [32, 51, 52].

Recently intense research has focused on discovering critical signaling pathways involved in mediating oxidative stress commonly associated with chronic inflammation leading to carcinogenesis such as CRC. The following sections will introduce key factors that mediate this anti-oxidative stress-anti-inflammatory signaling pathway and may provide useful molecular targets for cancer chemoprevention of CRC. Nrf2 is a novel transcriptional factor that is involved.

4. Nrf2 pathway

4.1 Nrf2-ARE mediated signal transduction

The first isolation of Nrf2 was reported in 1994 by Y.W. Kan’s group [53]. Since then its function has been widely investigated. The current understanding of the most important role of Nrf2 is activating the ARE-mediated anti-oxidative responses [54]. Initial testing with hydrogen peroxide, phenolic antioxidant compounds and metabolizable planar aromatic compounds such as β-naphthoflavone resulted in ARE activation therefore establishing its role in responding to oxidative stress [55]. Under physiological conditions, ROS and other endogenous reactive molecules are constantly produced during normal aerobic metabolism. The role of ARE in controlling the phase II detoxifying and anti-oxidative gene expression is critical in maintaining cellular redox homeostasis under both stressed and non-stressed conditions [54]. Moreover, many compounds, including the well-known dietary chemopreventive compounds, such as isothiocyanates (ITCs) [56] and curcumin [57], are also found to be potent ARE activators. Therefore, the study of whether such compounds could specifically target Nrf2 for CRC prevention could provide valuable information.

4.2 Keap 1-Nrf2-ARE mediated pathway

Recently our laboratory has found evidence suggesting that Nrf2 could be self-sufficient to sense and transduce oxidative stress signals into the nucleus and triggers the transcription of antioxidant genes [58]. Currently it is well established that the activity of Nrf2 is controlled in part by the cytosolic protein Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap 1). The mechanistic pathway of Keap 1 repression on Nrf2 has been discussed previously [54], however more research is needed to fully characterize the Keap 1-Nrf2 pathway especially in human based on our current understanding [2, 26]. Additionally, translational controlled of Nrf2 in response to oxidative stress was recently found [59]. The mechanism appears to involve an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) found within the 5’ untranslated region of the human Nrf2 mRNA that mediates redox-sensitive translation of Nrf2 [59]. Figure 3A illustrates the latest consideration of the most relevant findings in our understand how the Keap 1-Nrf2-ARE signaling pathway could be targeted in CRC for cancer chemoprevention. This Nrf2-ARE pathway appears to be the major mechanism in the cellular defense against oxidative and electrophilic stress by inducing proteins that are involved in the anti-oxidative stress by induction of anti-oxidative enzymes, as well as detoxification and elimination of carcinogens and electrophiles through conjugation by induction of detoxifying enzymes.
Increased intake of dietary chemopreventive compounds will further enhance the capacity of this cellular antioxidant mechanism from its basal levels, leading to their cancer preventive effects. Many other cellular signaling pathways can also coordinately regulate Nrf2 signaling, including a wide array of kinase signaling pathways such as the mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), protein kinase C (PKC) and PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) [60-63]. It appears that these kinase signaling pathways are also activated by chemopreventive compounds and that in turn would enhance Nrf2 transcriptional activity, thus the chemopreventive compounds may not only act directly on Keap 1.

4.3 Cytoprotective role of Nrf2: lessons from Nrf2 knockout mice

Many cancer chemopreventive compounds have been reported to act at more than one of these three-phases; initiation, promotion and progression. Some can even suppress the final step when metastatic cells have formed, meaning they also possess cancer therapeutic properties such as anti-angiogenesis and inducing apoptosis in cancerous cells [20-23]. In addition, many chemopreventive agents can activate the Nrf2-ARE antioxidative stress pathway as described above that would confer their potential cancer preventive activities [2-4]. The ARE is a critical regulatory element present in the promoter sequence of many genes encoding cellular phase II protective/detoxification and anti-oxidative stress enzymes mediated by Nrf2. ARE containing genes have been shown to be activated by the Nrf2 transcription factor. We have recently summarized the Nrf2-regulated phase II detoxifying enzymes and their potential ARE sequences that are involved [64]. Electrophiles as well as ROS and RNS are generally detoxified by various phase II enzymes including NAD(P)H-quinone reductase-1 (NQO1), glutathione S-transferase (GST) and uridine-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) that could be mediated by the Nrf2-ARE pathway [64-67].

The use of Nrf2 knockout (Nrf2 KO) versus wild type (Nrf2 WT) mice has provided key insights into the carcinogenesis and chemopreventive importance of this pathway. Our laboratory [68], as well as Kensler’s laboratory [69] have shown that Nrf2 KO mice develop more severe colitis in response to treatment with the inflammatory agent dextran sulfate sodium (DSS) as compared to the Nrf2 WT mice. The work was followed up with induction of CRC using the carcinogen azoxymethane (AOM) followed by DSS treatment in the Nrf2 KO mice [70]. The Nrf2 KO mice were found to be more susceptible to the formation of colorectal cancers and dysplasia than WT mice when treated with AOM-DSS [70]. The AOM-DSS treated Nrf2 KO mice had significant increased incidence of colonic tumor and prolapsed rectum/anal bleeding as compared to the WT mice. Nitrotyrosine staining of inflamed mucosa in Nrf2 KO mice indicated higher cellular damage in response to inflammation. These findings show that Nrf2 is required in the protection against inflammation-associated CRC and thus corroborating with the finding that Nrf2 KO increases susceptibility to inflammation-associated aberrant crypt foci (ACF) [69]. The common theme among these studies is the decrease expression of phase II detoxifying and anti-oxidative stress genes with concurrent increased expression of inflammatory markers in Nrf2 KO mice as compared to their WT counterparts. Taken together, these results clearly illustrate the role of Nrf2 in regulating an adaptive response that protects against early-phase inflammation-mediated CRC carcinogenesis.

5. Nrf2 as a therapeutic target in CRC

Nrf2 acts as a major regulator for the cellular defense against oxidative and electrophilic stresses by inducing enzymes that are involved in the detoxification and elimination of ROS, RNS and electrophiles through conjugation and excretion. Because of the close relationship between these reactive intermediates and the development of disease states, Nrf2 is a highly likely candidate for human diseases prevention. In the following sections, we will discuss
the available findings on dietary constituents as chemopreventive agents for treating and preventing CRC as they are related to the Nrf2/ARE pathway, which is a major target of dietary compounds, via the well-known mechanism: (a) maintenance of oxidative stress homeostasis and (b) enhancing the expression of detoxifying metabolizing enzymes.

5.1 Preclinical data

Table 1 summarizes the animal studies evaluating Nrf2-dependent gene expression with and without dietary chemopreventive compounds for CRC, only experiments that had examined colon, Nrf2 and/or the dependent genes are tabulated.

As mentioned earlier, Nrf2 KO mice have confirmed the importance of Nrf2 in protecting against inflammation-associated CRC [68-70]. Other studies have also shown consistent evidence regarding the role of Nrf2 and its downstream proteins such as UGT in protecting against well-known genotoxic chemicals formed during food preparation, such as heterocyclic aromatic amines (HAA), and other HAA members such as 2-amino-3-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline (IQ), which is commonly found in the human diet [71]. Using a nude mouse system, it was demonstrated that mice treated with IQ have suppressed Nrf2 and UGT1A10 expression [71]. Mice treated with IQ also have significant increased number of total aberrant crypt foci (ACF) and total aberrant crypts (AC) as compared to epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) treated groups [71]. EGCG, the major polyphenol in green tea, was found to inhibit colon carcinogenesis through various pathways, including enhancing the expression of Nrf2 and its downstream gene, UGT1A10 significantly [71, 72], consistent with our previous findings that EGCG activate the ARE transcription [75] as well as using Nrf2KO mice coupled with Affymetric chip assay [76]. The relevance of the role of Nrf2 is further confirmed by such observations that using EGCG was able to up-regulate the expression of Nrf2 and UGT1A10 in a dose-dependent manner, and the effect was most prominent in the high dose of EGCG (20 mg/kg) that could reverse the histopathological damage of colon tissue in mice treated with IQ back to normal anatomy [71]. It is postulated that increase in UGT1A10 expression in colon cancer could promote the conjugation of IQ with glucuronic acid, increasing the water solubility and elimination of IQ through urine and bile, thereby reducing or mitigating the carcinogenicity of IQ in colon tissue [71]. When mice were fed with EGCG only, it was demonstrated that there was an increase of Nrf2 and UGT1A in colon mucosa, further confirming the potential role of Nrf2 in attenuating carcinogenic toxicity of IQ, in part, by enhancing the expression of UGT1A [77].

The above logical argument could also explain in part, for the chemopreventive properties of cruciferous vegetables such as brussels sprouts and red cabbage when demonstrated by other scientists using similar approach by feeding the rats with IQ to induce colon lesions in the rats [73, 78]. Curciferous vegetables contain effective chemopreventive constituents similar to EGCG in the protection of the animals against IQ-induced colon lesions and at the same time the consumption of the cruciferous vegetables was found to up-regulate the expression of UGT (Table 1). There are several promising chemopreventive efficacy studies of sulforaphane (SFN) and phenethyl isothiocyanate (PEITC), the major ITCs in cruciferous vegetables, on various CRC animal models. Genetic model such as APC (adenomatous polyposis coli) Min/+ mice which mimics human pre-neoplastic FAP intestinal polyps spontaneously and that mutation in the APC gene is common in the majority of human colon cancers [79-81]. We had tested SFN in APCMin/+ mice, we found that compared to control, mice fed with SFN developed significantly lesser and smaller intestinal polyps [82]. Comparing Nrf2 KO and Nrf2 WT mice, it was also found that SFN and broccoli seeds produced about a 1.5 fold increase in NQO1 and GST in the small intestine and liver of Nrf2 WT mice but not Nrf2 KO [83, 84]. Using Nrf2 WT and Nrf2 KO mice, our group has also published the hepatic gene expression profiles of SFN [85] and PEITC [86]. We found that both SFN and PEITC enhanced expression of various genes including drug detoxifying
enzymes, through the Nrf2 signaling pathway. These data show that SFN and PETIC are effective in inducing antioxidant and detoxifying proteins, both in in vivo and ex vivo, and that Nrf2 plays a critical role.

Another chemopreventive compound, curcumin, a major active compound found in turmeric and in ancient medicine, has also been reported to induce many antioxidant and phase II enzymes such as GST [74, 87-89] that taken together would also explain in part, the reduction of the incidence of ACF seen in an AOM-induced rat colon cancer model [90].

5.2 Clinical data

Although some epidemiological studies may not have found a significant association between high intake of cruciferous vegetable with reduced CRC risk, there was a significant inverse correlation found among individuals null for both GST genotypes GSTM1 and T1, of which the GST phase II enzymes are important for the metabolism of ITCs [91]. Similar to conjugation of IQ with glucuronic acid by UGT enzymes for metabolism and excretion, conjugation with glutathione by GST is an important step in the metabolism and subsequent detoxification of carcinogens such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. GSTs are also known to metabolize ITCs, resulting in the formation of N-acetylcysteine conjugates, which are excreted in the urine [92, 93]. In individuals with both GSTM1 and T1 null genotypes, it was found that those consuming high dietary ITCs had a 57% reduction in risk as compared to low consumers of ITCs (odds ratio 0.43, 95% confidence interval 0.20–0.96), in particular for colon cancer (odds ratio 0.31, 95% confidence interval 0.12–0.84) [91]. These findings suggest that higher plasma levels of ITCs would be expected in individuals with null GST metabolizing enzymes and may be responsible for the chemoprotective effects of ITCs [91]. Similar findings were also found in another study [94], however, inconsistency exists within this study [95]. Such inconsistent evidence regarding the role of GST polymorphisms in CRC susceptibility might be associated with the ethnic differences in allele frequency for these polymorphisms [96] and or with the gender [95] or the presence of other genetic/environmental factors. For a more detailed review on the relationship between GST polymorphism, risk of CRC and chemoprevention in CRC, please refer to reviews available [96, 97]. Very recently, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in the UGT1A7 and UGT1A1 have been identified to be associated with higher risk for metastasis in human CRC [98]. Such findings could offer opportunity in identifying patients at risk of CRC and reducing their risk for severe CRC using individualized pharmacogenomic approaches. Thus, targeting Nrf2 is a novel approach since Nrf2 regulates numerous phase II detoxifying and antioxidant genes that protect against oxidative stress-induced CRC carcinogenesis.

6. Interplay between inflammatory and Nrf2 pathways

Many signaling pathways are involved in the induction of cytokines and the inflammatory responses, such as the nuclear factor-kappa-B (NFκB) [99, 100] and the MAPKs [101] signaling pathways. Interestingly, many chemopreventive compounds that work through Nrf2 pathways also have anti-inflammatory activities [24, 25, 102]. There is also increasing evidence showing that there is an interplay between the inflammatory NFκB pathway and the antioxidative stress Nrf2 pathway. For instance it has been reported that GSH/glutathione peroxidase 2 (Gpx2) is able to reduce COX-2 expression, and is believed to provide a physiological buffering system in preventing undue activation of COX-2 [103]. Thus GSH/Gpx2 aids in preventing the exacerbation of inflammation induced by COX-2 expression, and thereby attenuating inflammation-driven initiation of carcinogenesis [103]. It was also found that the protective effects of curcumin in the smooth muscle cellular response against toxic aldehydes and oxidative stress by inducing the expression of detoxifying enzyme aldose reductase, a member of the NADPH-dependent aldo-keto reductase family, were regulated by both Nrf2 and NFκB pathways [104]. Indeed oxidative stress and inflammation
are inter-related, it was also found that activation of ARE-regulated genes via adenovirus-mediated expression of Nrf2 protected endothelial cells from oxidant injury induced by hydrogen peroxide and inhibited some inflammatory genes expression such as interleukin 1-beta (IL-1b) [105]. The activation of Nrf2/ARE pathway also causes an increase in interleukin (IL)-8 and there is a strong possibility that under certain circumstances IL-8 may serve an anti-inflammatory role, and thereby contributing to the resolution of tissue injury [106].

Since Nrf2 and NfkB are two important transcriptional regulators in the etiopathogenesis of many cancers as well as inflammation-associated diseases, our laboratory has recently attempted to explore the crosstalk between Nrf2 and NfkB using a bioinformatics approach [107]. Multiple alignments of Nrf2 and NfkB1 genes were performed for human, chimpanzee, dog, mouse and rat, and a canonical regulated network for concerted modulation of Nrf2 and NfkB1 in inflammation/carcinogenesis was constructed. It was found that out of 59 members in the canonical first-generation regulatory network members, representing the potential putative crosstalk between Nrf2 and NfkB1 in inflammation-associated carcinogenesis, 44 are MAPKs members. These findings demonstrate that MAPKs constitute 75% of the signaling molecules involved in crosstalk between Nrf2 and NfkB and that as described above, the MAPKs play an active role in the Nrf2-Keap1-ARE signaling pathway [62, 108-111]. Moreover, MAPKs are also known to modulate NfkB signaling pathways [112, 113]. Furthermore, most recently our laboratory as well as Paul Talalay’s laboratory found that the anti-inflammatory effects of the ITC sulforaphane are mediated by Nrf2 comparing the Nrf2 KO and WT mice [114, 115]. Similarly, we found that Nrf2 plays a role in suppressing LPS-Induced Inflammation in mouse peritoneal macrophages by polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) [116]. Based on all these findings, we are presenting a simplified putative crosstalk model between Nrf2 and NfkB via upstream MAPKs pathway (Fig. 3B).

7. Expert opinion

The best evidence for the causal relationship between inflammation and CRC comes from epidemiological studies that patients taking NSAIDs appear to have lower risk in incidences of cancer, including CRC risk [117]. This is due to the fact that COX-2 is highly expressed in the CRC patients, COX-2 inhibitors were tested clinically for prevention of CRC [118]. Indeed celecoxib, a second generation COX-2 specific NSAID, was found to be an effective agent for the prevention of colorectal adenomas, as shown by celecoxib-treated patients having a lower total percentage of adenomas as compared to the placebo group (37.5-43.2% vs. 60.7%, p<0.001). However, celecoxib may not be routinely recommended as a therapeutic chemopreventive agent due to its potential risk of increasing cardiovascular events in patients (3 fold of increased risk as compared to the placebo group) [118]. Hence, one of the recommendations for better approaches in preventing inflammation-induced CRC is to use relatively non-toxic dietary cancer chemopreventive compounds [7, 18, 24].

Based on the promising chemopreventive properties of various dietary phytochemicals as discussed above, therefore it appears that the dietary approach for CRC prevention would be the most logical approach for cancer management. Phytochemicals do not carry the concern of the higher risks of adverse cardiovascular and thrombotic events and gastrointestinal bleeding frequently seen in non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) [18, 119]. The poor bioavailability of some dietary cancer chemopreventive agents (such as curcumin and EGCG), can be advantageous towards the colon since this can lead to higher levels of the chemopreventive agents being attained in the colon and thus may contribute to the enhanced effects on the Nrf2 and Nrf2-related signaling pathway in the intestines.
Recently cancer-related inflammation (inflammatory microenvironment) has been included as the seventh hallmark of cancer [51, 120], which is an additional hallmark to the identified six hallmarks of cancer summarized by Hanahan and Weinberg [121], namely a) self-sufficiency in growth signals, b) insensitivity to anti-growth signals, c) evading apoptosis, d) sustained angiogenesis, e) limitless replicative potential, f) tissue invasion and metastasis. Irrespective of the diverse molecular pathogenesis of CRC, most of CRC, if not all, have acquired the seven functional capabilities during their development, although through varied mechanisms. Thus, to prevent or treat CRC, targeting the Nrf2 pathway would indeed be one of the logical and promising pathways, as many of the chemopreventive compounds targeting Nrf2 also possess other molecular targeting ability such as preventing the formation of cancer (as primary, secondary and tertiary chemopreventive agents that are able to block initiation, and suppressing promotion and progression of cancers respectively), inducing apoptosis in cancer cells, regulating cell cycle, regulating hormonal signaling, anti-angiogenesis, anti-inflammation, anti-metastasis, and most importantly as a whole, ultimately preventing carcinogenesis (Fig. 4).

Increasing evidence has shown that chemopreventive compounds are also effective in suppressing various inflammatory models, and, clinically, COX-2 inhibitors have been proven to be effective for preventing colitis-associated CRC. Due to its cardiovascular toxicity risk it may not be prescribed and recommended as a routine practice as discussed early. However, more work needs to be done to further explore and define the relationship between Nrf2 and inflammation, so that a better understanding of its role in the pathogenesis of CRC, and thereby prevention of the disease. This will lead to better design of clinical trials using chemopreventive agents for the prevention of CRC.

Development of effective chemopreventive compounds against CRC is important and requires conclusive evidence from animal models that emulate human cancers. For colorectal carcinogenesis and intervention studies, APC (adenomatous polyposis coli) Min/+ mice are also used in many laboratories including ours. APC Min/+ spontaneously develop pre-neoplastic intestinal polyps and mutation in the APC gene which is common to the majority of human colon cancers, hence APC Min/+ appears to be a highly relevant model to study cancer chemoprevention on the intestines. Since Nrf2 is a crucial transcriptional factor in cytoprotection against oxidative and electrophilic stresses as well as inflammation, APC Min/+ knockout Nrf2 function mice would potentially be a very good model for further research on Nrf2 as a chemopreventive target for CRC. AOM-DSS-Nrf2 KO model is another ideal model to study both inflammation- and oxidative stress-induced carcinogenesis of colon in a single system, which will enable a better appreciation and understanding of the emerging roles of crosstalk between the Nrf2 and NFκB transcriptional factors.

While NFκB is a key player in the inflammatory response, aberrant activation of NFκB is frequently observed in many cancers. It was found that NFκB suppression could limit the proliferation of cancer cells. Hence methods of inhibiting NFκB have potential therapeutic applications in cancer and inflammatory diseases [122, 123]. Increasing evidences have shown that Nrf2 and NFκB are inter-related and our group have shown recently [24, 26, 114, 116], we believe that targeting Nrf2 by natural or synthetic chemopreventive agents that could enhance the Nrf2/ARE pathways while suppress the NFκB/inflammatory pathways in pre-cancerous tumors including CRC conditions, would greatly contribute to the prevention of CRC.

Nrf2 is ubiquitously distributed in many tissues in human and animals, and Nrf2 is shown to be the key transcriptional factor in maintaining the oxidative stress homeostasis via increased expression of anti-oxidative and detoxifying enzymes. There is a very close relationship between ROS/RNS and the development of cancers, we believe that
chemopreventive agents targeting Nrf2 not only block the aberrant inflammation and decrease oxidative stress thus blocking the development of CRC, they can also work in preventing other cancers. To achieve these goals, several critical issues regarding Nrf2 as a chemopreventive target in CRC should be addressed in future investigations, and the answers should also be applicable more globally to other cancers. They are as follow:

1. On one hand chemopreventive agents such as ITCs can induce the expression of phase II detoxifying and antioxidant enzymes to increase the metabolism of carcinogens and reactive intermediates, on the other hand individuals with some null phase II enzymes known to metabolize ITCs appear to benefit from the lower metabolizing rate of ITCs and have lowered CRC risk. Controlled clinical studies including detailed amount of consumption of cruciferous vegetables or chemopreventive compounds and the pharmacokinetic profile of the compounds as well as the polymorphism of the genes involved should be carefully studied and defined, to better provide a pharmacogenomic profile in the use of Nrf2 as a potential target of chemopreventive compounds for CRC prevention in clinical settings.

2. Activation of the Nrf2/ARE pathway may suppress the expression of redox-sensitive inflammatory genes and protect against oxidant-mediated injury in cells and animals. Because Nrf2 can also be activated by some pro-inflammatory cytokines, further characterization of endogenous Nrf2/ARE signaling as well as exogenous activated Nrf2/ARE pathway will eventually lead to the development of Nrf2 targeting chemopreventive compounds that also has a role in suppressing the aberrant inflammation in CRC formation.

3. Combination approaches targeting multiple pathways in conjunction with the Nrf2 pathway, such as the NfkB, COX-2 and iNOS pathways (depending on the etiology) would enhance the overall success of cancer chemoprevention of CRC in the future.
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Figure 1.
(A) The contribution role of familial (hereditary) and sporadic factors in the development of human colorectal cancer. (B) Modifiable factors to reduce risk of CRC. Dietary change can reduce the risk in half; other means including increasing physical activity, reducing alcohol drinking and avoiding smoking can further reduce the risk. Please see text for discussion.
Figure 2.
A simplified illustration of the carcinogenesis in human CRC and the role of inflammation and oxidative stress. (A) 85% sporadic CRC and patients with FAP tend to proceed via genetic modifications that contributes to colon carcinogenesis as a result of chromosomal instability (CIN). Usually one or two of focal areas of dysplastic lesions are found. (B) Clinically, colitis-associated CRC and HNPCC demonstrate similar features to (A), and unlike sporadic CRC, usually they have multiple dysplastic lesions. The remaining 15% sporadic CRC originates from genetic modifications that contributes to colon carcinogenesis as a result of microsatellite instability (MSI). This is also a pathways preferred by majority
of patients with HNPCC. Emerging evidence has shown that in colitis-associated CRC, the frequency of CIN and MIS are also about the same as sporadic CRC, 85% and 15% respectively. Inflammation and oxidative stress, together with the accumulation of genetic alterations over the patients lifetime, will result in the formation of CRC. It is important to take note that in reality, cancer can arise without proceeding through each of these steps. For a more detailed pathogenesis of CRC, please refer to existing literature [32, 51, 52].
Figure 3.
(A) Summary of the regulation of phase II and anti-oxidative genes via the Keap 1-Nrf2-ARE pathway. In the cytoplasm, under basal level, newly synthesized Nrf2 is constitutively bound to Keap 1 forming a dimer. Nrf2-Keap 1 which is then directed to go through ubiquitination (ubiquitin, Ub) and converted to Nrf2-Ub is degraded by proteasomal degradation (blue arrows). Oxidants such as ROS, RNS and dietary chemopreventive compounds react with redox reactive cysteines in Keap 1 (SH groups), disrupting the...
interaction between Nrf2 and Keap 1, hence allowing the transcriptional factor Nrf2 to translocate to the nucleus. In the nucleus, Nrf2 dimerizes with small MAF (sMAF)-family proteins and bind to antioxidant response element (ARE), which is located in the promoter of the phase II and anti-oxidative genes, resulting in increased transcriptional activity (red arrows). Numerous reports have found that chemopreventive agents also interact with other kinase signaling pathways, please see the text for more detailed discussion. (B) A simplified putative model for crosstalk between Nrf2 and NfkB in inflammation and carcinogenesis. Stimulus from ROS, RNS and / chemopreventive compounds could directly interact with members of MAPKs family, as well as interacting with Nrf2 and NfkB pathways concurrently, such multiple interactions allow chemopreventive compounds to exert their beneficial cancer preventive and therapeutic properties.
Figure 4.
“Lock and Key” model for chemopreventive compounds neutralizing the acquired capabilities of cancer. The seven hallmarks of cancer (simplified from [51, 120, 121]), and the multifaceted properties of chemopreventive compounds in preventing and treating cancers. Targeting at Nrf2, is one of the promising pathways as many of the chemopreventive compounds targeting Nrf2, also possess other molecular targeting ability such as a) blocking the initiation and suppressing the promotion and progression of cancers, b) regulating hormonal signaling, c) inducing apoptosis in cancer cells, d) anti-angiogenesis, e) regulating cell cycle, f) anti-metastasis, g) anti-inflammation, and most importantly prevent the carcinogenesis as a whole.
### Table 1

*In vivo* studies showing cytoprotective role of Nrf2 in CRC models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testing model</th>
<th>Study design/testing compounds</th>
<th>Outcome effects</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nrf2 KO vs. C57BL/6J WT mice</td>
<td>DSS-induced colitis&lt;br&gt; Gp 1: WT + 1% DSS&lt;br&gt; Gp 2: KO + 1% DSS&lt;br&gt; Gp 3: WT + water&lt;br&gt; Gp 4: KO + water</td>
<td>Nrf2 KO mice had significantly shorter colon as compared to Nrf2 WT mice (p&lt;0.05).&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; Nrf2 KO mice:&lt;br&gt;↑DSS-induced colitis&lt;br&gt;↑antioxidant/phase II detoxifying enzymes: HO-1, NQO1, UGT1A1, GSTM1&lt;br&gt;↑proinflammatory mediators/cytokines: COX-2, iNOS, IL-1β, IL-6</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nrf2 KO vs. C57BL/6J WT mice</td>
<td>AOM/DSS-induced CRC&lt;br&gt; Gp 1: WT + water&lt;br&gt; Gp 2: KO + water&lt;br&gt; Gp 3: WT + AOM/DSS&lt;br&gt; Gp 4: KO + AOM/DSS</td>
<td>93% Nrf2 KO mice had colonic tumor vs. 53% Nrf2 WT (p&lt;0.05), 80% were adenocarcinoma vs. 29% respectively. Nrf2 KO mice:&lt;br&gt;↑COX-2, 5-LOX, PGE&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;, LTB&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;, inflamed colonic mucosa (nitrotyrosine expression)&lt;br&gt;Nrf2 WT mice:&lt;br&gt;↑NQO1, UGT1A1</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nrf2 KO vs. ICR/129S VJ WT mice</td>
<td>AOM/DSS-induced CRC&lt;br&gt; Gp 1: WT + AOM&lt;br&gt; Gp 2: KO + AOM&lt;br&gt; Gp 3: WT + AOM/DSS&lt;br&gt; Gp 4: KO + AOM/DSS</td>
<td>DSS treatment significantly increased ACF, inflammation and mucosal damage in Nrf2 KO mice but not WT (p&lt;0.05).</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALB/cA nude mice</td>
<td>Gp 1: Basal diet&lt;br&gt; Gp 2: IQ (50 mg/kg) + EGCG (5 mg/kg)&lt;br&gt; Gp 3: IQ (50 mg/kg) + EGCG (10 mg/kg)&lt;br&gt; Gp 4: IQ (50 mg/kg) + EGCG (20 mg/kg)</td>
<td>IQ suppressed Nrf2 and UGT1A10 expression.&lt;br&gt;As compared to IQ treated, EGCG groups have:&lt;br&gt;↓atypical hyperplasia,&lt;br&gt;total ACF and total AC significantly&lt;br&gt;↑Nrf2, UGT1A10 (all p&lt;0.01)</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthotopic HT-29 cancer cells implanted in the cecum of BALB/cA nude mice</td>
<td>Gp 1: Basal diet&lt;br&gt; Gp 2: EGCG (5 mg/kg)&lt;br&gt; Gp 3: EGCG (10 mg/kg)&lt;br&gt; Gp 4: EGCG (20 mg/kg)</td>
<td>EGCG treated groups:&lt;br&gt;↓growth (all p&lt;0.001, vs. control)&lt;br&gt;and liver metastases (p&lt;0.01 for Gp 3, p&lt;0.05 for Gp 4 vs. control)&lt;br&gt;↑Nrf2, UGT1A1, UGT1A8, UGT1A10 (all p&lt;0.01)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischer 344 rats</td>
<td>Gp 1: Modified (high fat, fiber free) AIN76 diet&lt;br&gt; Gp 2: IQ (100 mg/kg/day for 10 alternate days)&lt;br&gt; Gp 3: IQ+Brussels sprouts juices 5 days</td>
<td>Brussels sprouts:&lt;br&gt;↑ACF/rat 41-52% in the colon, 85-91% liver GST-P&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; foci&lt;br&gt;Red cabbage:&lt;br&gt;↑ACF/rat 17-20%, 41-83% liver GST-P&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; foci&lt;br&gt;↑UGT-2 &amp; P450IA2</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testing model</th>
<th>Study design/testing compounds</th>
<th>Outcome effects</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>before IQ and during IQ Gp 4: IQ+Red cabbage juices 5 days before IQ and during IQ</td>
<td>increased by both vegetables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F344 rats</td>
<td>2% curcumin in diet by oral, 500 mg/kg by i.g. gavage vs. control treated with CCl₄</td>
<td>Curcumin treated rats: ↑16% Hepatic GST ↓36% Colon M₁₂₁</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations used: Nrf2 knockout (Nrf2 KO); Nrf2 wild-type (Nrf2 WT); Dextran sulfate sodium (DSS); Group (Gp); heme-oxygenase-1 (HO-1); NAD(P)H-quione reductase-1 (NQO1); Uridine-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT); glutathione S-transferase (GST); cyclo-oxygenase 2 (COX-2); inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS); interleukin-1beta (iL-1β); interleukin 6 (iL-6); azoxymethane (AOM); aberrant crypt foci (ACF); aberrant crypts (AC); 2-amino-3-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline (IQ); epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG); liver glutathione-S-transferase placental positive (GST-P+, an early preneoplastic lesion in liver); cytochrome P4501A2 (P450, an enzyme catalysts the activation of HAA); adducts of malondialdehyde with DNA (M₁₂₁)